UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,031	09/25/2006	Kenji Shiga	12477/13	4637
23838 KENYON & K	7590 01/19/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE SUITE 700	=	JONES JR., ROBERT STOCKTON		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,031	SHIGA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT JONES JR.	1796	

	ROBERT JONES JR.	1/96	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid aban ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			10 133403 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c			
NOTE: The amendment to Claims 7, 17, and 25 in glycidyl groups and/or isocyanate gorups of said re Applicant points to the instant specification at page supports "react[ing] a part of" an amorphous polyes whether this means (a) wherein only a portion of all making up (I) react with (II), but wherein only a port (III), or both) are reacted. The scenario included in the This issue is not addressed or clarified elsewhere in new matter. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	active compound (II) is reacted with 5, lines 7-11 for support. However ster (I) and a reactive compound (II I molecules making up (I) are reactive of functional groups within a muthe amended claims is not describe	h said amorphous polyr, this portion of the sp). The specification do ed with (II), or (b) all n ultifunctional molecule and by this portion of the	yester resin (I)". pecification only pes not clarify nolecules a (i.e. either (I), a specification.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	. 5. The States of the Glaims after er	itiy io bolow of attacht	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/David Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /R. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100114

Application No.